A Feminist Understanding of Contraception
(Manisha Gupte)

Few topics related to the women's health movement are as controversial as is contraception. Liberating heterosexual women at one end by giving them the choice to control their own reproduction, it snatches away the same control when contraceptives, many of them invasive and harmful, come as a package deal with population control programmes that select, motivate and whenever necessary coerce helpless targets. Male hegemony exists in medicine, in policy and decision making and in research. Do women end up having lesser choice and lesser control over their bodies through the usage of existing contraceptives? What designs operate to keep control of women's bodies out of the latter's hands? And is there a solution?

To understand the above, it becomes necessary to clarify our own position regarding contraception. It is particularly important to do so when we are faced with the possibility that injectable contraceptives may be officially introduced into the Indian government's family planning programme. Whereas our fight should be directed against all contraceptives that are invasive, harmful and which have systemic effects, at this moment it is necessary to concentrate our efforts to examine injectable contraceptives vis a vis the personal choice of a woman regarding contraception.

The present paper, based largely on existing information, attempts to bring forward some views regarding contraception, the choice that women are able or unable to exercise when confronted with unsafe contraceptives within a target oriented, coercive population control programme.

Feminism and Contraception:

As regards contraception, one argument put forward is that while it does help a woman to avoid conception the availability of contraceptives has made women 'sexually available' for men. The argument has been especially true in the context of our Western sisters and the recent works of Germaine Greer and Betty Friedan bear testimony to the fact that the sexual revolution of the West did infact oppress women themselves. The same argument is put forward in India by well meaning persons about the abortion issue. What they want to stress upon and to warn is that once contraceptives are available, men become more irresponsible in their sexual relation with women, since then a woman's sexual availability can be separated from unwanted conception and the accompanying guilt and responsibilities. In the event that conceptions do occur, the woman then is made to go through repeated abortions, much against her will and her physical well being. The position of these protagonists is in principle quite different from that of the moralists who see sexuality without conception as evil, especially if it occurs outside marriage, and who consider accidental pregnancy ensuing out of such a relation as a well deserved punishment.

Let us examine this position and its consequences. In fact, one might raise a counter question in argument. Are we trying to say that if contraceptives were not made available, women too would no longer be 'available' for sexual purposes? In such a situation, what would be our analysis? The fact is that patriarchy is powerful and all pervading. It adapts itself to almost every situation with incredible ease. Infact it has the power to mould situations, even progressive and radical, for newer forms of oppression.
It existed in feudal society, it functions hand in hand with capitalism; what is even more depressing is that it has also not been driven out of post revolutionary societies, nor from left movements. It should not surprise anybody therefore if it exploited the sexual revolution of the West or the availability of contraceptives in general.

Our fight therefore has to be directed against the real enemy. Patriarchy that oppresses us, degrades us to being sexual objects, that refuses to accept responsibility of conception and child rearing and which overtakes any move by us to gain control of our own bodies. Withdrawal of legalised abortion or of contraception would in result be no different from what rightist moralists would desire in complacent glee: a further punishment for women. If we accept the fact that a woman is not free sexually, then to take away her defence mechanisms would amount to victim blaming.

Within marriage, the 'availability' of the wife for sexual gratification in relation to the contraception issue raises delicate questions. Similar to the argument raised earlier, does a wife become a sexual slave only when the couple practices contraception? The reality of the sexual rights of a husband is more deep than is contraception. Restitution of conjugal rights is one such issue that encroaches on to the human rights of the wife. In the Hindu family, the wife cannot raise the issue of rape within marriage, because according to the law she has given her consent once and for all during the marriage ceremony, itself. Legal cases have been filed by husbands when the wife has refused to bear children. Where does contraception figure in these cases?

The woman's choice and control over her own sexuality would more often be much reduced within marriage. Each time she goes through an unwanted sexual experience, she may not be actually 'raped', often, the consequences of not sleeping with her husband may far outweigh the consequences of having slept with him. She may be threatened with insecurity, with the accusation of not fulfilling her conjugal duties, of frigidity and in dire circumstances with desertion. In such a situation, infact contraception comes to the rescue of a woman: she can at least hold on to one end of the rope, however feebly.

One is definitely not making a case that wives and women in general are sexless and that every time they undergo a heterosexual experience, they are doing it against their own will, only to gratify the man. Of course not. Women can and should express their sexuality in their own right. And yet, they should have the freedom to control their own reproduction, with or without marriage.

From this point, emerges, another hotly debated issue: is contraception solely the responsibility of the woman? It is clearly not so, and we have to constantly question as to why there is more research into contraceptives for women as compared to those for men, why women are the more favoured target group in population control programmes and why unsafe and invasive contraceptives are being dumped onto women. Ideally, contraception should be shared equally by the couple and significantly, the natural family planning method which is the safest method of contraception demands such mutual cooperation and understanding. The man respects the women's demand against conception and actively cooperates. Here, however, we are referring to the man who handles an intimate relationship with some amount of responsibility. He may well be exception to the rule. In Bombay city alone the officially registered MTPs in a single year were around 50,000 besides many more that go unregistered, (Karkal, 1985) proving that there were atleast so many unwanted pregnancies in one city in one year.

The point one is trying to make is that while we are aware that contraception is shared responsibility, in the absence of a pro-women milieu, avoiding unwanted conceptions through contraception becomes the woman's last line of defence.

Is there a choice?

If contraception is liberating because it allows a woman to control her own fertility, existing contraceptives tell a sad tale. Contraceptive choice today is not determined so much by the woman in question, but by designs that are beyond her control. These designs work at national and international levels, namely the government's policy regarding population control and the indirect involvement of drug manufacturers in research towards contraceptives.

According to the 1981 Census of India, 43.4% of all women are in the reproductive age group and of these 80.48% are married. That makes for 11.6 crores of married women in the reproductive age group only on the Indian subcontinent. Since injectable are to be used as a spacing method, all of these women become potential targets at least once in their lifetimes.

It is therefore easy to understand the direct and indirect involvement of drug manufacturers in research
related to long acting contraceptives and the implicit bias underlying all these research studies. Even 'prestigious' international bodies such as the International Planned Parenthood Federation (IPPF) describes all injectable contraceptives as a 'most dependable and useful method of family planning' (IPPF, 1978). Contraceptive technology is more under the control of multinationals than it is with women. Delivery of contraceptives may lie with women, as it does in Britain or in India; but this in itself does not mean that decision making or the power to decide on a particular contraceptive on a macro level lies with women. Male hegemony exists and contraception therefore remains an area where all heterosexual women are disadvantaged by a limited choice. (Roberts, 1981).

Moreover, the medical establishment is male dominated and much worse, women are made to fit into male defined categories. It is with this preconceived bias that the medical establishment sees our menstrual problems. Since our gynaecological disorders are termed as 'psychosomatic' there does little understand for menstrual chaos, pain or other psychological disorders that invasive contraceptives induce inside our bodies?

The findings of many of the research studies are questionable. In field trial studies, the necessary physical examination is not always performed on women because it would discourage a woman to continue to participate in the study and would give FP a bad name at the village level (Balasubrahmanyan, 1981). No long term follow up is also conducted. On what basis then are claims of safety made? Hormones can cause long term havoc, therefore women taking hormonal contraceptives have to be monitored for years. Not only they but in the event that they used these drugs in the post partum period, their children too have to be watched until the latter reach puberty. In this context, our fight has also to be directed against the Pill and all contraceptive preparations that cause hormonal and systemic effects. Our concentrated effort against the introduction of injectables, however is more because least control over our own bodies is possible with long acting contraceptives, the dangerous effects of which we cannot remedy by immediate withdrawal and the higher potential of abuse that is related with injectables.

Long acting contraceptives, especially injectables are very important where the question of choice is concerned. The 'value' of injectables, as the proponents of PC see, lies exactly in the fact that it steals choice from women into the hands of male hegemony. A fourteen year old black girl from London was given a shot of DP without her knowledge when she was under general anaesthesia for abortion (Rakusen, 1981). Social workers from Scotland report that a young girl was given a shot of DP, disguised as a glucose injection. In Britain, Asian women in their post partum period are routinely given a DP shot along with the rubella vaccine, without any consideration for the child that would absorb the hormonal drug whilst breastfeeding.

The above examples are only the tip of the iceberg. They are vivid because one can clearly see how choice is snatched from us, through abuse of the injectable, but the general picture would be more subtle. Throughout the world, especially in the developing countries, injectables would be pushed for the 'sake of convenience'. The question is: whose convenience? When the woman in question cannot decide which contraceptive she must use, 'informed consent' is actually telling half-truths and when she cannot control the long term sequelae of the systemic and hormonal effects on her body, it is inhuman to speak of 'convenience'. In fact, it is the convenience of the drug companies and the dons of population control that is being considered, so that this dangerous hormonal preparation can be administered to 'ignorant and irresponsible' women.

References:

3. International Planned Parenthood Federation 'Fact sheets' on Depo-Provera.
6. Roberts, Helen, Male hegemony in family planning (in above book)
Sexist and Racist Implications of New Reproductive Technologies

(Excerpts from a paper presented at the XI World Congress of Sociology, N. Delhi, August 1986.)

After atomic technology has come under heavy attack, bio-technology, mainly genetic engineering and reproductive technology, are propagated, together with computer technology, as the great hope in the so-called third technological revolution of 'high tech'. In this paper I shall concentrate on the implications of the development of new reproductive technologies. But it should be borne in mind that in practice these technologies do not exist simply side by side but are combined in a number of ways. This is particularly true of the combination of genetic engineering and reproductive technology. It is precisely this possibility of their combination which brings to light their destructive potentialities. The discourse of these technologies is usually following the principle of divide and rule: fundamental research is divided from the application of the research results, genetic engineering is divided from reproductive technology, the application of reproductive technology in industrialized societies is divided from that in the underdeveloped societies. This separation of spheres and contexts which de facto are linked makes a critical assessment of this technological development very difficult if not impossible.

I shall start with a few basic theses:

1. These technologies have not been developed and are not produced on a mass scale to promote human happiness but to overcome the difficulties of the present world system to continue its model of permanent growth of commodities and accumulation. As markets for durable consumer goods are no longer expanding new needs have to be created for the new commodities developed by the scientists and the Industry. The female body with its generative capacities has now been discovered as a new area of "investment" and profit making, for scientists, medical engineers and entrepreneurs, in a situation where other areas of investment are no longer very promising. (1)

2. These technologies are introduced in a situation of social relations between men and women, which are world wide based on exploitation and subordination. It is a historical fact that technological innovations within exploitative and unequal relationships lead to an intensification of the inequality and exploitation of the groups concerned and not to their reduction.

3. These technologies are legitimized by those who want to sell them by humanitarian arguments: to help infertile couples to have a baby out of their own flesh and blood, to help women to avoid handicapped children, to diminish the hazards of pregnancy and child bearing etc. The methodological principle is to high light the plight and unhappiness of a single individual and to appeal to the solidarity of all to help that individual. In this they use all kinds of psychological blackmail. But the individual cases are used to introduce these technologies and to create the necessary acceptance among all women. The aim is total control of women's reproductive capacity. In this woman as a person with human dignity is disregarded.

4. It is often argued that these technologies as such are not good or bad, and that in a better society these technologies could be of great use to mankind. This argument is based on the widespread belief that science and technology are neutral and separated from social relations. A closer analysis carried out by feminists in recent years has however revealed that the dominant social relations are also part and parcel of technology itself. This means, we can no longer say that reproductive technology or genetic technology as such are good, only their application is bad. The very methods and basic principles of this technology have to be criticized (1). They are based on exploitation and subordination of nature, on exploitation and subordination of women, on exploitation and subordination of other peoples, i.e. colonies. It is in this context that one can speak of an inherent sexist, racist and ultimately fascist bias of the new reproductive technologies.

Gena Corea in her book 'The Mother Machine' gives ample evidence of the ideological continuity between the eugenics movement and today's genetic engineering and reproduction technology. She quotes the Marxist geneticist Muller, who won a Nobel Prize for his work on the effect of nuclear radiation on genes as having said, that infertility, which seemed to be on the increase, provided an excellent opportunity, for the entering wedge of positive selection, since the couples concerned are nearly always, under such circumstances, open to the suggestion that they turn their exigency to their credit by having as well-endowed children as possible (2).

But what constituted the difference between Muller, who dreamed of breeding more men like Lenin, Newton, Leonardo, Pasteur, Beethoven, Omar Khayyam, Pushkin,
Sun Yet-Sen, Marx (2) was that in the meantime it was no longer necessary to have complete men women and make them copulate or prevent this in order to achieve those superior beings. Genetic research had meanwhile advanced and it was possible to use donor sperm of genius to fertilize women with. Of course the women should also possess 'superior' quality eggs. A further step in the perfection of the technological means for the application of the principle of selection and elimination are the various methods of quality control and above all invitro fertilization (IVF). It is possible today not only to isolate and select ova and sperm but also to isolate genes, to cut up the DNA to examine which of the chromosomes are defective, to recombine and manipulate pieces of the DNA and thus directly influence the genetic substance. Geneticists are busy everywhere now to map the genetic pool of humans, to discover ever more "genetic defects". I would not be surprised if in the near future we would see a whole range of diseases being declared as genetically caused. The ideology of socio-biology and of eugenics will provide the criteria for what is to be understood as 'valuable' and what as 'defective'. These new "hereditary diseases" will provide an ample market for the application of "gene-therapy", and pre-natal diagnosis, and neo-eugenics. The aim of this whole movement is to adapt the human being to the destructions of the environment which technological process and the growth model have caused.

Sexist biases permeate the new reproductive technologies as well as genetic engineering at all levels. In general they imply that motherhood, the capacity to bring forth children, is changed from natural process, in which woman cooperated with her body as a conscious human being, to an industrial production process, in which the woman's body is made totally transparent, the processes of childbearing totally rationalized, planned and controlled by the medical experts, the product, the child, monitored at all stages of its production, the woman herself being more than ever objectified. In patriarchy she has long been an object for male subjects. But what is new with the new reproductive technologies is that she is no longer one whole object, which has to be put under male control, but she can be divided up in a whole series of objects, which can be isolated, examined, re-combined, sold, hired, or simply thrown away, like ova which are not used for experimentation. This means in the last analysis that the integrity of the woman as a human person, an individual, i.e. a person who cannot be divided up is destroyed by these technologies. It is the old ideology of dominance of man over nature, the ideology of scientific rationalism which has led to this stage of destruction of the woman as a human person, and her division into an arsenal of reproductive matter. This rationalization process goes hand in hand with an extension of poverty relations into the female body. Women, who have been fighting for reproductive 'rights' in recent years, have coined the slogan: My belly belongs to me or, I am the master of my belly! Such slogans convey the same logic of private property. With the new reproductive technologies this logic reveals its final destructiveness. A woman who considers her womb, her ova, her embryos, her 'property' can sell them or can buy those of other women. On a more specific level, this sexism manifests itself in various ways: For women these new developments mean above all, that their reproductive capacity will be put under rigid quality control. One of the scientists working in this field said that in future no woman would have the right to burden society with a disabled child. The social pressure on pregnant women to bring forth perfect children is already enormous today and will grow further. In the industrialised societies women are already now subjected to a whole series of pregnancy tests. If she is more than thirty, she is counted among the 'risk-pregnancies'. She is more or less put under heavy pressure by her doctor to undergo an amniocentesis. Yet, the risk of hurting the foetus is almost as big as that of having a child with Down-Syndrome. In the western industrial societies amniocentesis is used to detect diseases of the foetus like the Down syndrome. In countries like India and China the female sex of the foetus is already considered the 'defect', and leads to large scale abortion of female foetuses. Vimal Balasubrahmanyan has rightly observed, that this genocidal tendency, made possible by modern reproductive technology, was advocated by some of the, western propagators as a more effective measure of population control. 'Breeding male' was seen as the remedy against the 'population explosion'. Here we see the close interconnection of racism and sexism (3).

Apart from the total quality control which women will have to undergo, the new reproductive technologies provide the technical tool to rob women of their autonomous reproductive competence and to put it into the hands of medical experts. Following the above mentioned general scientific methodology, women are divided into their relevant reproductive part, as ovas, uteri, and embryos. The female body is treated as an arsenal of reproductive raw material out of which the medical engineer selects those parts which he needs for the industrial production of children. Gena Corea writes that the girls who are born today most probably feel, when they grow up, that giving birth to a child is a highly
complicated affair, for which only the medical experts have the necessary competence. The producer of children will then be those medical experts, not the women (2). This loss of the competence of childbirth can already be observed with many women today.

It seems that the technocrats now want to get control over the life-giving processes after they have been the masters of death so far. All their power was hitherto based on the ultimate power of destruction, whereas they had to depend on women to create life. The new reproductive technologies are an attack on this bastion. We can observe a rapid development of IVF clinics in many countries and research in this field is advancing by leaps and bounds. More and more the 'natural' processes of giving birth is also manipulated.

If we ask how medical experts got such sweeping control over women's reproductive capacities, we have to remember the whole contraceptive movement in the last decades. Before sterility was defined as a disease by the WHO, 'fertility' had been treated as a disease for many years. Not only pharmaceutical firms who wanted to sell their contraceptives, not only the medical establishment had an interest in calling women's fertility a disease, but the women themselves became "sick of their fertility" as one woman from Canada put it at the Emergency Conference on Reproductive Technology in Sweden in 1985. By looking at fertility as a purely biological affair, by treating it as a disease, women handed over the responsibility for their generative powers to medical experts and to scientists. Instead of changing the sexual relations of men and women, women's emancipation was expected as a result of technological innovation and medical treatment. And in fact, in the course of time many women became de facto sick, not by their fertility as such, but by treating it with contraceptives of various sorts.

By treating fertility and sterility as diseases, the possibility of looking at them as socially and historically influenced is barred. They are defined as purely biological categories and hence fall into the responsibility of medical experts. Any movement against the sexism inherent in the new reproductive technologies has to fight against the biological determinism implied in the definition of sterility and fertility as diseases. It is this definition, backed by the WHO, which puts women worldwide at the disposal of powerful interests, mostly in the hands of men.

— Maria Mies

(MFC News contd. from p. 8)

ORGANIZATIONAL MATTERS:

1. There was some misunderstanding regarding the members of the cells formed at Patiala (see MFC Newsletter Feb 1986). The reformulated cells are:

   Cell 1. Critical analysis of Government Health Policies and Programmes (Ravi Duggal, Padma Prakash, Abhay Bang)


   Cell 3. Investigative field research to support health action (Padma Prakash, Sathyamala, Kamala Jayaroa, Anil Patel).

   Cell 4. Communications/lobbying on specific health issues for policy changes (Marie D'Souza, Ulhas Jajoo, Mira Shiva, Dhruv Mankad, Anil Patel)

2. Convenor's Experience: Dhruv Mankad sharing his experience during the past six months as Convenor, felt that over the years the physical volume of mfc's day to day work has increased. Since the convenor like other members of mfc, is also involved in local work, increasing preoccupation with mfc work encroaches upon the local work, sometimes to such an extent as to eclipse it. This would at some time necessitate either a full time convenor for mfc or at least a much quicker turnover of convenors.

   Other administrative matters such as the membership position, finances, publication and distribution of the anthologies etc. were also discussed.

   - Dhruv Mankad, Convenor MFC
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XIII ANNUAL MEET OF THE MFC

Friends,

Medico Friend Circle will hold its XIII Annual Meet at Seva Mandir Training Centre, Kaya (near Udaipur), Rajasthan, on the 26th and the 27th of January 1987.

The theme chosen for discussion this time is "Family Planning in India: Theoretical Assumptions, Implementation and Alternatives". Family Planning has generally been considered an important part of Primary Health Care, but over the past two decades, it has come to occupy a key place amongst the country's development strategies. Is its elevation to the level of a panacea, for the problems facing the people, based on well examined theoretical assumptions? What effects has the policy of incentives and coercion has had on the performance of other health programmes? Out of the existing contraceptive methods which is the least harmful? Do some of these methods need to be rejected outright? Are there safer alternatives? These are some of the issues to be discussed at the Meet.

As usual there will be no reading of papers. Background papers on related topics will be circulated beforehand to facilitate discussions. They include: (a) Problem of population versus resources (b) Theoretical assumption of FP policy in China (c) Critical examination of the FP policy in the context of the Child Survival hypothesis (d) Comparative analysis of the dangers of pregnancy and contraception (e) Women as the main targets of FP policy (f) The paradox of higher FP performance in Tribal areas (g) Incentives and coercions - effects on Primary Health Care (h) Pattern of resource allocation in our Five Year Plans (i) Evaluation of the existing FP methods (j) Natural Family Planning methods as safer alternatives.

We invite you to attend the Meet and share your views and experiences. We also invite you to write background papers on any other topic related to the theme. Your note paper should reach the Convenor's office by the 31st of November.

The participants are as usual expected to pay for their own travel. Simple boarding and lodging facilities will be available at the venue, on a payment of Rs. 20/- per day per person. We charge a small registration fee to cover the cost of the cyclostyled background papers. Return reservation facilities are also available. If you wish to attend, please write to us at: Medico Friend Circle, 1877, Joshi Galli, Nipani - 591 237. We will then send you the venue details and background papers.

Hoping to hear from you,

Yours,

Dhruv Mankad
Convenor, MFC.

(Issued as a Supplement to MFC bulletin of October 1986)
MFC News: Core-Group Meet, Dallirajhara

The executive Committee and the Core group of mfc met at Dallirajhara from the 21st to the 23rd of July 1986. What follows is a brief report of the discussions and the decisions taken at the meet.

BHOPAL INVOLVEMENT:

1. Health Education booklet and pamphlet: Anant Phadke, Mira Sadgopal, and Vijayendra reported on the printing and distribution of the booklet in Hindi published jointly by Ekalavya and mfc. Not many copies have been distributed so far. Anant Phadke also reported on the publishing and distribution of the Hindi translation of mfc's March survey. As mfc's commitment to the people of the bastis who participated in the survey, this pamphlet should have been distributed to them long ago. But since this has not been accomplished as yet, he felt very strongly that we have not been sensitive to our commitment. The others, however, felt that it was not a lack of sensitivity that was responsible for this lapse, but a lack of co-ordination. With the help of some Bhopal based activists, Mira Sadgopal offered to take this up immediately.

2. Pregnancy Outcome Survey: Sathyamala and Anil Patel reported on the present status of this study. The tabulation and the analysis is complete. The report remains to be written. Since Sathyamala cannot finish writing the report before October, it was decided that she would do an article for the bulletin, putting down only the main findings of the survey. The Bhopal Information group will translate the article in Hindi for circulation. They would also prepare a lay version which will be reviewed by the Bhopal cell of mfc. The final report will be available by the end of October.

3. March Survey report: Dhruv reported that almost all the copies of the complete report has been sold out. But a large number of the summary report is still available. (Readers, do you need any copies for sale/distribution?) The question of reprinting was mooted, and it was decided to wait for the decision on the proposed new study (see below). It was pointed out that, surprisingly the mfc report seems to have been largely ignored, even abroad, probably because many groups are not aware of its existence. It was suggested that the possibility of distribution through IOCU should be explored.

4. Proposed Bhopal Study: Anil Patel reported on the genesis of the proposal to undertake a fresh epidemiological study of the Bhopal gas victims.

In view of the fact that the case against Union Carbide will now be tried in the Indian Courts, there is need to establish the spectrum of clinical syndromes in the gas affected population and study the gradient of morbidity in this population. This is with the view of its utilization by independent lawyers representing the victims or to strengthen the Government's case against UCC. It is with this intention that a meeting has been organized at Sewagram in July 1986 to consult interested NGOs.

Since, a number of studies by various organizations-ICMR, NRPC, JNU, MFC- are already available, question was raised as to why a new study should be initiated. It was pointed out that if the aim was to publish (a) that exposure to gas produced excess morbidity (b) the types of morbidity, then the existing studies could be utilized. The real need at this time, was to do a proper enlisting of all the gas affected population. Here the shortcomings of the available studies including the mfc's March study were recalled, and the need for a sound, competent epidemiological study that would stand up in the Court of law was reiterated.

This initiated a long discussion on the legal issues involved regarding the admissibility of epidemiological evidence but since no competent legal opinion was available right then, it was decided to assume that such an evidence would indeed be admissible: Finally it was pointed out that whether or not such an evidence was admitted, good epidemiological study would serve as scientific evidence of the damage caused by MIC and other gases that escaped from the UCIL Plant, to be used for future actions. In the end it was decided that mfc would participate in a new study only if the following prerequisites are fulfilled.

(a) Group/individuals prepared to fight out the case to the end.
(b) Existence of a group/mechanism in Bhopal to enlist and properly record signs/symptoms complexes of the gas affected people.
(c) The possibility that the new study can indeed overcome the shortfalls of the existing studies.
(d) Adequate number of people are available to make some amount of commitment and to take up responsibility.

It was also suggested that at the Sewagram meeting it should be proposed that those who join the study should
do it in their individual capacities and not on behalf of any organization. Many members expressed their reservations about this suggestion.

5. Bhopal Cell: A Bhopal cell was formed for consultation, feedback on Bhopal issues as well as for representing mfc in meetings. It consists of Anil Patel (co-ordinator for study if it is undertaken), Mira Sadgopal, Abhay Bang, Anant Phadke, Padma Prakash, Amar Jesani, Anant Phadke, Ravi Duggal and Anil Patel showed their willingness to participate in the study if it is under taken.

BULLETIN AND EDITORIAL POLICY:

Dhruv Mankad reported on the present status of the bulletin. In view of the new regulations of the Government, it has been decided to handover the ownership of the MFC bulletin to a trust formed especially for the purpose of publishing it. During the past six months various alternatives were explored and the formation of the Trust seemed to be the only feasible solution. It is hoped that from now on the bulletin would appear regularly. A long discussion ensued on the editorial policy and on ways to improve the participation of members as well as to maintain the quality of articles appearing in the bulletin. Various suggestions put forth included identification of target groups; of areas to be focused; planning out theme based issues and asking for time bound articles; encouraging members/readers to share specific experiences in the field etc.

HARIHAR POLYFIBRE STUDY:

Ravi Narayan reported on the present situation at Harhar in connection with the pollution caused by Harhar Polyfibres Ltd. After much discussion it was decided to study the writ petition filed by Shri. S.R. Hiremath and others in the Karnataka High Court and to start preliminary exploration for the study of this problem if required. This would be possible only if mfc does not get involved in Bhopal in the near future.

MEDICAL EDUCATION POLICY/ANTHOLOGY:

It was decided that the anthology should be published early next year after articles needing revising/updating are received by the Editor by December. It is reported that soon the Government is going to announce a Medical Education Policy as part of the new Education Policy. A critique prepared by Ravi Narayan should be included in the anthology as an appendix.

FOLLOW UP OF THE TB MEET:

It was reported that comments had been received on the "Critique of the strategy adopted by the NTP" prepared by Binayak Sen and Anant Phadke. Anil Patel differed sharply from the views presented by them. Anant Phadke will reformulate the article incorporating some of the views expressed by Anil Patel. Comments of Anil Patel which differ sharply from those in the article will be attached as a separate note. It was decided that this will not be an mfc statement on NTP but only a mfc publication.

RATIONAL DRUG POLICY CELL:

Anant Phadke had prepared a pamphlet on the seven most hazardous drugs viz., Analgin, Oxyphenbutazone, combination of streptomycin with chloramphenicol, high dose combination of estrogen and progesterone, anabolic steroids and Clioquinol for AIDAN. KSSP, Kerala has shown an interest to include it in a book featuring other drug policy issues. The book will be available from mfc for distribution when it is published. (Await announcement in the Bulletin).

ANNUAL MEET:

1. Theme: There was a prolonged and an indepth discussion on the purpose of selection of a theme for the mfc annual meet with specific reference to the theme of the coming annual meet, i.e. the Theoretical Assumptions and implementation of the Family Planning Programme in India. Commitments for background papers dealing with various issues were given by the members. Resource persons were also identified.

2. Venue: Narendra Gupta, the Co-ordinator for the annual meet reported that Seva Mandir Rural Training Centre, situated at Kaya near Udaipur had kindly agreed to provide lodging and boarding facilities for the Annual Meet.
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